



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/698,708	10/27/2000	Srihari Kumar	P3937	6134
24739	7590	01/13/2006	EXAMINER	
CENTRAL COAST PATENT AGENCY PO BOX 187 AROMAS, CA 95004			POINVIL, FRANTZY	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3628	

DATE MAILED: 01/13/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 09/698,708	Applicant(s) KUMAR ET AL.	
	Examiner Frantzy Poinvil	Art Unit 3628	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
 - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
 - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 October 2005.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-9, 11-16 and 18-26 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-9, 11-26 and 18-26 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 10/19/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-9 and 11-16, and 18-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being unpatentable over Northington et al (US Patent No. 6,128,602) in view of Atkins (US Patent No. 5,875,437).

As per claims 1-8 and 11-16 and 18-26, Northington et al disclose most of the claimed features. Northington et al disclose an open architecture system for automatically consolidating information from a plurality of financial systems or financial service providers into single accounting systems and for reporting and transferring financial information in response to a user request. See the abstract. The system enables a user to monitor, track and review financial transactions. The system also comprises a plurality of modules, each module having a distinct display interface providing interactive elements for a user to view and manipulate data from individual ones of the plurality data sources. Applicant is directed to figure 1, column 5, line 35 to column 6, line 40.

The system further includes one or more of a calendar module for enabling viewing and manipulation of time and date sensitive calendar data, a transaction module for enabling viewing and manipulation of financially oriented account data, a portfolio tracking module for enabling viewing and manipulation of investment oriented account data, a networth reporting module for displaying a solution oriented networth report compiled from the aggregated data, a bill payment module for enabling viewing and initiation of payment action regarding current billing data and an account alert module for reporting time and event sensitive account alerts related to changes in account data. See column 5, line 15 to column 6, line 40.

The system also includes means for providing additional display interfaces launchable from individual ones of the plurality of control report modules the display interfaces containing interactive links to utilities for configuring the aspects of data display and for ordering transactions through the modules and rendering the network interface vehicle accessible to the user operating a remote data access device connected to the network. Applicant is directed to columns 5-8 of Northington et al.

Users access the system via the INTERNET using a personal computer, Web page or browser. Northington et al also disclose a database reporting software for accepting input from the software interface through individual ones of the control and report modules and for performing calculations, and ordering transactions based on the received input. Applicant is directed to column 7, line 45 to column 8, line 18.

The aggregated data are personalized to an accessing user and limited to display in a personalized interface. Note column 14, lines 15-20 and column 15, line 38 to column 16, line 40.

Applicant generally argues that Northington et al fail to teach or suggest a cross-linked module and/or the features of cross-linking of data found in the different claimed modules.

Atkins discloses a system and method for effecting personal financial analysis, planning, and management incorporating a digital system of electronic exchange, investment and borrowing with means of implementing, coordinating, supervising, planning, analyzing and reporting upon an array of asset accounts such as investments and liability accounts such as credit facilities. The apparatus comprises a wide area network of digital computation and communication instruments, including various personal digital assistants that may be linked to central processors and data storing facilities. See column 7, lines 19-29 of Atkins. Atkins further states consolidating and integrating the financial information received from the various sources. See column 9, lines 25-39, column 10, lines 51-55 and claim 17 of Atkins.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Atkins into Northington et al in order to provide clients with a detailed analysis of all their financial information at a glance.

As per claim 9, the financial system and method of Northington et al and Atkins are discussed above. Northington et al and Atkins do not explicitly teach an account-bookmarks module having at least one interface for listing URLs of the plurality of data sources. The Examiner notes that the system of Northington et al is an Internet based system containing a web browser and the ability to save and list URLs of the plurality of data sources. Having an account-bookmarks module as claimed would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide in the system of Northington et al and Atkins in order to

provide a quick storage of the URLs for fast and easy access of the data sources. Northington et al further teach monitoring travel plans. See column 15, lines 38-65. Initiating of travel plans is interpreted as recording the dates intended to travel as such would be done by any party desiring to travel.

3. Applicant's representative argues that Atkins fails to teach or suggest interlinking and interoperability between selected software modules and further states that it is unclear as to how the various PDA's may share reporting aspects of aggregated data or enable a user to navigate and conduct transactions.

In response, Northington et al are directed to an open-architecture system which automatically consolidates information from a plurality of financial systems into a single accounting system without the need for expensive and time-consuming backroom procedures. See the abstract.

Atkins has been applied to denote additional teachings of an integration of various software data modules in providing a report to a consumer. Northington et al teach that aggregated data are personalized to an accessing user and limited to display in a personalized interface. Note column 14, lines 15-20 and column 15, line 38 to column 16, line 40 of Northington.

The Examiner has never stated in the prior Office action that the various PDA's share reporting of any aggregated data. In explaining the system of Atkins the Examiner had stated that:

“The apparatus comprises a wide area network of digital computation and communication instruments, including various personal digital assistants that may be linked to central processors and data storing facilities. See column 7, lines 19-29 of Atkins”. This passage indicates that there exists various PDA’s in a wide area network that are linked to various central processing and data storing facilities. It was never stated that the PDA’s share any information as the applicant is implying. The applicant should refer to the related passage in the prior Office action where it is stated that “Atkins discloses a system and method for effecting personal financial analysis, planning, and management incorporating a digital system of electronic exchange, investment and borrowing with means of implementing, coordinating, supervising, planning, analyzing and reporting upon an array of asset accounts such as investments and liability accounts such as credit facilities. Atkins further states consolidating and integrating the financial information received from the various sources. See column 9, lines 25-39, column 10, lines 51-55 and claim 17 of Atkins” .

Atkins further states:

“The present invention is a method and apparatus for effecting an improved personal financial analysis, planning and management system incorporating a digital system of electronic exchange, investment and borrowing with means of implementing, coordinating, supervising, planning, analyzing and reporting upon an array of asset accounts such as investments and liability accounts such as credit facilities”.

“The system of the present invention also offers a means of improved personal financial analysis, planning and management through a fully integrated and interactive means of asset and liability management, capital budgeting and portfolio optimization”.

Further disclosure of a consumer requesting a consolidated report from various modules is also illustrated in figures 8a-8c of Atkins.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Atkins into Northington et al in order to provide clients with a detailed analysis of all their financial information at a glance.

4. Applicant has amended the independent claim to recite:

“wherein the software modules are selectively interlinked and enabled to communicate with each other in such a way that the related modules may share reporting aspects of the aggregated data, data incorporated in select modules may be affected by actions performed in other related modules and the user is enabled to navigate and conduct transactions and reporting between the modules via the single user interface” and argues that the combination of Northington et al and Atkins does not teach or suggest this limitation.

In response, this limitation is clearly the functions of a database in which there exists a master table with a plurality of slave tables wherein changes in one table will be reflected in other tables having a linked index or key field. In the combination of Northington et al and Atkins there exists a plurality of consumer records obtained from one or more sources which are consolidated into one report for transmission to a consumer who has made a request. The one or more consumer records would have included one or more identifiers or key fields associated with the one or more consumer records for facilitating the retrieving and consolidating of the records into a single record. The key fields or identifiers of the different data sources or modules would have performed the functions of the claimed “software modules are selectively interlinked and

enabled to communicate with other related modules in such a way that the related modules may share reporting aspects of the aggregated data” [wherein the aggregated data are the collections of data retrieved from the plurality of data sources]. The claimed limitation of “data incorporated in select modules may be affected by actions performed in other related modules” is not a positive limitation since the phrasing of “....may be affected...” does not imply that such a function is being performed. In any event, the combination of Northington et al and Atkins also performs this function since data are obtained from various sources and consolidated into a singly report for presenting to a user or consumer. See column 13, lines 7-14 of Northington et al. and column 28, lines 1-67 of Atkins. The consumer having a web browser or computer having window capabilities would have been able to navigate the presented report and conduct transactions as the user would have desired through the single user interface. The user of Northington et al and Atkins also performs transactions through the single user interface. See column 14, lines 5-20 of Northington et al and column 61, lines 33-46.

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Frantzy Poinvil whose telephone number is (571) 272-6797. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sam Sough can be reached on (571) 272-6799. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



Frantzy Poinvil
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3628

FP
December 29, 2005